

The 29th June, 1983

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30584.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mahabir Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Cooperative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter herein after appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mahabir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30591.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shamsher Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Cooperative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shamsher Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30593.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Harkesh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Harkesh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30595.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Dhan and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Dhan was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?